

# City of Pittsburg

Pittsburg, California

*Single Audit Reports*

*For the year ended June 30, 2007*

**C&L**  
Caporicci & Larson  
Certified Public Accountants

**City of Pittsburgh**  
**Single Audit Reports**  
**For the year ended June 30, 2007**

**Table of Contents**

---

	<u>Page</u>
<b>Independent Auditors' Report on Compliance and on Internal Control over Financial Reporting Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with <i>Government Auditing Standards</i> .....</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>Independent Auditors' Report on Compliance with Requirements Applicable to each Major Program and Internal Control over Compliance in Accordance with OMB Circular A-133 .....</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards.....</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>Notes to the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards .....</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs.....</b>	<b>7</b>



**REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS**

To the Honorable Mayor and Members of City Council  
of the City of Pittsburg  
Pittsburg, California

We have audited the financial statements of the City of Pittsburg (the City) as of and for the year ended June 30, 2007, and have issued our report thereon dated January 24, 2008. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

**Internal Control over Financial Reporting**

In planning and performing our audit, we considered the City's internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing our audit procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control over financial reporting.

A control deficiency exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent or detect misstatements on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a control deficiency, or combination of control deficiencies, that adversely affects the entity's ability to initiate, authorize, record, process, or report financial data reliably in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles such that there is more than a remote likelihood that a misstatement of the City's financial statements that is more than inconsequential will not be prevented or detected by the City's internal control. A material weakness is a significant deficiency, or combination of significant deficiencies, that results in more than a remote likelihood that a material misstatement of the financial statements will not be prevented or detected by the City's internal control.

Our consideration of internal control over financial reporting was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph and would not necessarily identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be significant deficiencies or material weaknesses. However, we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that we consider to be material weaknesses, as defined above.

**Compliance and Other Matters**

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the City's financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The result of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

Toll Free Ph: (877) 862-2200

Toll Free Fax: (866) 436-0927

**Oakland**

180 Grand Ave., Suite 1365  
Oakland, California 94612

**Orange County**

9 Corporate Park, Suite 100  
Irvine, California 92606

**Sacramento**

777 Campus Commons Rd., Suite 200  
Sacramento, California 95825

**San Diego**

4858 Mercury, Suite 106  
San Diego, California 92111

To the Honorable Mayor and Members of City Council  
of the City of Pittsburg  
Pittsburg, California  
Page 2

We noted certain matters that we reported to management of the City in a separate letter dated January 24, 2008.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of management, the City Council, others within the entity, and federal awarding agencies and pass-through entities and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties. However, this report is a matter of public record and its distribution is not limited.

*Capricci & Carson*

Oakland, California  
January 24, 2008





**REPORT ON COMPLIANCE WITH REQUIREMENTS  
APPLICABLE TO EACH MAJOR PROGRAM AND ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER  
COMPLIANCE IN ACCORDANCE WITH OMB CIRCULAR A-133**

To the Honorable Mayor and Members of City Council  
of the City of Pittsburg  
Pittsburg, California

**Compliance**

We have audited the compliance of the City of Pittsburg (the City) with the types of compliance requirements described in the U.S. Office of Management and Budget (OMB) *Circular A-133 Compliance Supplement* that are applicable to each of its major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2007. The City's major federal programs are identified in the summary of auditor's results section of the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs. Compliance with the requirements of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants applicable to each of its major federal programs is the responsibility of the City's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the City's compliance based on our audit.

We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Audit Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and OMB Circular A-133, *Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations*. Those standards and OMB Circular A-133 require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the City's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion. Our audit does not provide a legal determination of the City's compliance with those requirements.

In our opinion, the City complied, in all material respects, with the requirements referred to above that are applicable to each of its major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2007.

**Internal Control over Compliance**

The management of the City is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the requirements of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants applicable to federal programs. In planning and performing our audit, we considered the City's internal control over compliance with the requirements that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program in order to determine our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on compliance, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control over compliance.

Toll Free Ph: (877) 862-2200

Toll Free Fax: (866) 436-0927

**Oakland**  
180 Grand Ave., Suite 1365  
Oakland, California 94612

**Orange County**  
9 Corporate Park, Suite 100  
Irvine, California 92606

**Sacramento**  
777 Campus Commons Rd., Suite 200  
Sacramento, California 95825

**San Diego**  
4858 Mercury, Suite 106  
San Diego, California 92111

To the Honorable Mayor and Members of City Council  
of the City of Pittsburg  
Pittsburg, California  
Page 2

A control deficiency in an entity's internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent or detect noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a control deficiency, or combination of control deficiencies, that adversely affects the entity's ability to administer a federal program such that there is more than a remote likelihood that noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program that is more than inconsequential will not be prevented or detected by the entity's internal control.

A material weakness is a significant deficiency, or combination of significant deficiencies, that results in more than a remote likelihood that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program will not be prevented or detected by the entity's internal control.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and would not necessarily identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be significant deficiencies or material weaknesses. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses, as defined above.

**Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards**

We have audited the basic financial statements of the City as of and for the year ended June 30, 2007, and have issued our report thereon dated January 24, 2008. Our audit was performed for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the City's basic financial statements. The accompanying Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards is presented for purposes of additional analysis as required by OMB Circular A-133 and is not a required part of the basic financial statements. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and, in our opinion, is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the financial statements taken as whole.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of management, the City Council, others within the entity, and federal awarding agencies and pass-through entities and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties. However, this report is a matter of public record and its distribution is not limited.

*Capricci & Carlson*

Oakland, California  
January 24, 2008

**City of Pittsburg**  
**Single Audit Reports**  
**Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards**  
**For the year ended June 30, 2007**

Federal Grantor/Pass-Through Grantor Program Title	Federal CFDA Number	Agency or Pass-Through Number	Federal Expenditures
<b>U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development:</b>			
- Low Income Housing Assistance			
Program- Section 8 Voucher Program	*	14.871	CA-060V0
			\$ 10,105,427
- Community Development Block Grant		14.218	B-06-MC-06-0054
			1,011,755
<b>Total U.S. Department of Housing &amp; Urban Development</b>			<u>11,117,182</u>
<b>U.S. Department of Justice:</b>			
- Edward J Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant		16.738	2006-DJ-BX-0834
			11,171
- COPS MORE 2002 Grants		16.710	CA00708
			<u>37,005</u>
<b>Total U.S. Department of Justice</b>			<u>48,176</u>
<b>U.S. Department of Transportation:</b>			
<i>Passed through the California Department of Transportation</i>			
- Surface Transportation Program Congestion Management		*	20.205
			STPL-5127-(016)
			153,667
<i>Passed through the California Office of Traffic Safety</i>			
- DUI Enforcement & Awareness Program		20.600	AL0707
			<u>67,046</u>
<b>Total U.S. Department of Transportation</b>			<u>220,713</u>
<b>U.S. Department of Homeland Security</b>			
<i>Passed through Contra Costa County Sheriffs Office</i>			
- Buffer Zone Protection Plan Program		97.078	BZPP05
			<u>47,848</u>
<b>Total U.S. Department of Homeland Security</b>			<u>47,848</u>
<b>U.S. Department of Agriculture:</b>			
<i>Passed through California Department of Education &amp; Nutrition</i>			
- Summer Food Service Program		10.559	7-8070-0V
			<u>32,196</u>
<b>Total U.S. Department of Agriculture</b>			<u>32,196</u>
<b>Total Expenditures of Federal Awards</b>			<u>\$ 11,466,115</u>

See accompanying Notes to the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards.

**City of Pittsburg**  
**Single Audit Reports**  
**Notes to the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards**  
**For the year ended June 30, 2007**

---

**1. REPORTING ENTITY**

*A. Reporting Entity*

The City of Pittsburg (City) was incorporated under the General Laws of the State of California and enjoys all the rights and privileges pertaining to such "General Law" cities. The City uses the City Council/Manager form of government. The financial reporting entity consists of (a) the primary government, the City, (b) organizations for which the primary government is financially accountable, and (c) other organizations for which the primary government is not accountable, but for which the nature and significance of their relationship with the primary government are such that exclusion would cause the reporting entity's financial statements to be misleading or incomplete.

Component units are legally separate organizations for which the elected officials of the primary government are financially accountable. In addition, component units can be other organizations for which the primary government's exclusion would cause the reporting entity's financial statements to be misleading or incomplete.

The following component units are included in the basic financial statements of the City.

- Redevelopment Agency of the City of Pittsburg
- Housing Authority
- Public Infrastructure Financing Authority
- Pittsburg Power Company

**2. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES**

*A. Basis of Accounting*

Funds received under the various grant programs have been recorded within general, special revenue, and capital projects funds of the City. The City utilizes the modified accrual basis of accounting for these funds. The accompanying Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards (Schedule) has been prepared accordingly.

*B. Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards*

The accompanying Schedule presents the activity of all Federal financial assistance programs of the City. Federal financial assistance received directly from Federal agencies as well as Federal financial assistance passed through the State of California are included in the Schedule.

The Schedule was prepared only from the accounts of various grant programs and, therefore, does not present the financial position or results of operations of the City.

**3. PRIOR YEARS' EXPENDITURES**

In prior years the City had the following expenditures not listed on the Schedule of Federal Awards:

Department of Justice	
CFDA Number 16.710	
Total Expenditures: \$23,000	
Expenditures incurred in fiscal year 2005-2006	\$23,000



**City of Pittsburgh**  
**Single Audit Reports**  
**Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs**  
**For the year ended June 30, 2007**

---

**A. SUMMARY OF AUDIT RESULTS**

1. The auditors' report expresses an unqualified opinion on the basic financial statements of the City of Pittsburgh (City).
2. One significant deficiency relating to the audit of the financial statements are reported in the basic financial statements.
3. No instances of noncompliance material to the basic financial statements of the City were disclosed during the audit.
4. No significant deficiencies relating to the audit of the major federal award program is reported in the basic financial statements.
5. The auditors' report on compliance for the major federal award programs for the City expresses an unqualified opinion.
6. Audit findings relative to the major federal award programs for the City are reported in Part C of this Schedule below.
7. The programs tested as major programs include:

<u>Major Programs</u>	<u>Expenditures</u>
Low Income Housing Assistance	\$ 10,105,427
Surface Transportation Program Congestion Management	153,667
<b>Total Major Program Expenditures</b>	<b>\$ 10,259,094</b>
<b>Total Federal Expenditures</b>	<b>\$ 11,429,110</b>
<b>Percent of Total Federal Award Expenditures</b>	<b>90%</b>

The auditee meets the criteria for a low-risk auditee. Consequently, the major programs tested were required to be in the aggregate at least 25% of the total federal awards expended.

8. The threshold for distinguishing Types A and B programs was \$342,873.
9. The City was determined to be a low risk auditee.

**B. FINDINGS - FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AUDIT**

No financial statement findings were noted.

**City of Pittsburgh**  
**Single Audit Reports**  
**Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued**  
**For the year ended June 30, 2007**

---

**C. FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS - MAJOR FEDERAL AWARD PROGRAM AUDIT**

**Finding 07-1** Department of Justice (CFDA Number 16.710) - Under-reporting of Federal expenditures.

**Criteria:** In accordance with the Basic Guidelines section of OMB's, all Federal grants must be reported on the City's Single Audit Report in the year the expenditure was incurred.

**Condition:** During the performance of the audit, it was noted that expenditures in the amount of \$23,000 incurred in fiscal years 2005-2006, were not reported on the Schedule of Federal Awards for that year.

**Cause:** Over the last two years, the Finance Department has experienced a high staff turnover and a financial software conversion that subsequently led to overlook the reporting requirement of COPS MORE grant on the Single Audit Report. This staff constraint was eliminated by hiring a grant manager during Fiscal Year 2006-07.

**Questioned Costs:** If the amount was reported in fiscal year 2005-2006, it would not have been selected as a major program. The grant would not be tested in fiscal year 2005-2006. Therefore, the questioned cost is unknown.

**Context and Effect:** Keeping a good tracking mechanism will ensure that the Schedule of Federal Awards is complete and accurate.

**Recommendation:** We recommend that the City establish a procedure to ensure that all grant expenditures are properly accounted for as part of the Single Audit requirement.

**Management Response:** The City of Pittsburgh will develop a grant policy and procedure manual in Fiscal Year 2007-2008 to implement the auditor's recommendation.