

CITY OF PITTSBURG, CALIFORNIA
TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT
ACT ARTICLE III FUND
BICYCLE FACILITY PROJECTS

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED
JUNE 30, 2013

This Page Left Intentionally Blank

**CITY OF PITTSBURG, CALIFORNIA
TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE III
BICYCLE FACILITY PROJECTS**

**Financial Statements
For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2013**

Table of Contents

	<u>Page</u>
<i>Independent Auditor's Report</i>	1
<i>Program Financial Statements:</i>	
Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance	3
Notes to Financial Statements	5
<i>Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with Government Auditing Standards</i>	7

This Page Left Intentionally Blank

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT

Honorable Members of the City Council of the
City of Pittsburg
Pittsburg, California

Report on Financial Statements

We have audited the financial statements of the Transportation Development Act Article III Bicycle Facility Projects (Project) of the City of Pittsburg, as of and for the year ended June 30, 2013, and the related notes to the financial statements as listed in the table of contents.

Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation of the financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the City's preparation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing such an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinion.

Opinions

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly in all material respects the financial position of the project at June 30, 2013 and the results of operations and changes in fund balance for the year then ended, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated November 18, 2013, on our consideration of the City's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the City's internal control over financial reporting and compliance.



Pleasant Hill, California
November 18, 2013

City of Pittsburgh
Transportation Development Act Article III
Bicycle Facility Projects
Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance
FOR THE YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2013 and 2012

	<u>2013</u>	<u>2012</u>
REVENUES:		
Intergovernmental	<u>\$62,430</u>	<u>\$117,915</u>
Total revenues	<u>62,430</u>	<u>117,915</u>
EXPENDITURES:		
Capital outlay	<u>62,430</u>	<u>117,915</u>
Total expenditures	<u>62,430</u>	<u>117,915</u>
NET CHANGE IN FUND BALANCE	-	-
FUND BALANCES:	-	-
Beginning of year	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>
End of year	<u><u>-</u></u>	<u><u>-</u></u>

See accompanying notes to financial statements

This Page Left Intentionally Blank

**CITY OF PITTSBURG
TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE III FUND
BICYCLE FACILITY PROJECTS
NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
For the Years Ended June 30, 2013 and 2012**

NOTE 1 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

A. Reporting Entity

The City of Pittsburg, California (City), Transportation Development Act Article III Fund (TDA Fund) includes the financial activities associated with the State of California Transportation Development Act. The State of California created a local transportation fund for each County funded by a portion of the State sales tax.

The TDA Fund is distributed through the Metropolitan Transportation Commission (MTC) which is the agency responsible for allocation of funds to eligible claimants within the greater San Francisco Bay Area.

The TDA Fund is included in the Special Revenue Fund of the City. The financial statements are intended to present the financial position and results of operation for the TDA Fund, and not those of the City as a whole.

B. Basis of Accounting

Basis of accounting refers to when revenues and expenditures are recognized. The TDA Fund is accounted for in a governmental fund type and the modified accrual basis of accounting is used. Under the modified accrual basis, revenues are recognized when they become measurable and available as net current assets. TDA Article 3.0 revenues are recognized when approved expenditures are incurred. Expenditures are generally recognized when the fund liability is incurred.

At June 30, 2013 the TDA Fund had no grants receivable outstanding and had spent all grant monies received during the year.

NOTE 2 - TDA ARTICLE 3.0 REVENUE/ EXPENDITURES

During the year ended June 30, 2013, the City recorded revenues in accordance with the allocation instructions from the Metropolitan Transportation Commission for the following projects:

MTC Allocation #	Project Name	TDA Grant Award	Total Expenditures
13001042	El Pueblo Neighborhood Improvements	\$62,430	\$62,430
		\$62,430	\$62,430

This Page Left Intentionally Blank

**INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL
OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS
BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN
ACCORDANCE WITH *GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS***

Honorable Members of the City Council of the
City of Pittsburg
Pittsburg, California

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the basic financial statements of the Transportation Development Act Special Revenue Fund, as of and for the year ended June 30, 2013, and the related notes to the financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated November 18, 2013. Our report included an emphasis of a matter regarding the implementation of a new accounting principle.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered City's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of City's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of City's internal control.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the City's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. Our procedures included the applicable audit procedures contained in §6667/§6666 of Title 21 of California Code of Regulations and tests of compliance with the applicable provisions of the Transportation Development Act and the allocation instructions and resolutions of the Metropolitan Transportation Commission. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

City's Response to Findings

The City's response to the findings identified in our audit are described in our separately issued Memorandum on Internal Control dated November 18, 2013 which is an integral part of our audits and should be read in conjunction with this report. The City's response was not subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and, accordingly, we express no opinion on it.

Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the City's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.



Pleasant Hill, California
November 18, 2013